

IN THE DRAWINGS

Formal drawings are supplied herewith, each labeled as "REPLACEMENT SHEET".

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on August 23, 2005.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 8-14 have been amended, claims 31-34 have been added; claims 1 and 15-30 have been canceled without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to reintroduce these claims in continuation and/or divisional patent applications. As a result, claims 2-14, 31-34 are now pending in this application.

The amendments and additions to the claims are fully supported by the specification as originally filed, and no new matter will be added by entry of the amendment. The amendments to the claims are made to satisfy Applicants' preferences, not necessarily to satisfy any legal requirement(s) of the patent laws. The amendments clarify the claims and are not intended to limit the scope of equivalents to which any claim element may be entitled. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks that follow.

Claim 2 has incorporated the language of claim 1.

Claims 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 now depend from claim 2.

Claim 9 has incorporated the language of claim 1.

Claims 31-32 have been added to depend from claim 9. Claims 31 and 32 are similar to the claim language used in originally filed claims 6 and 7, respectively.

Claim 12 has incorporated the language of claim 1.

Claims 33-34 have been added to depend from claim 12. Claims 33 and 34 are similar to the claim language used in originally filed claims 2 and 3, respectively.

Drawings Objection

The drawings have been objected to because the application was filed with informal drawings. Formal drawings are submitted herewith to replace the informal drawings.

§102 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 14 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiu (U.S. 2004/0124527 A1). Independent claim 1 has been cancelled without prejudice. Therefore, the rejection with regard to these claims is moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9, 12 and 13 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, claims 2, 9, and 12 have been rewritten in independent form, and Applicants believe they are now in condition for allowance. Claims 3-8, 10-11, 13-14 depend from the allowable, rewritten claim 2. Accordingly, Applicants believe claims 3-8, 10-11, 13-14 are also in condition for allowance.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants respectfully submit that on page 4 of the Action, what is meant is that “none of the prior art made of record discloses curing the fold adhesive, wherein the fold adhesive has a volumetric cure shrinkage of less than about 0.8% as cited in allowable claim 2.” Also, “wherein the fold adhesive is selected from the group consisting of at least one of polyimide siloxane, aliphatic epoxy, phenol hardener, and imidazole catalyst” is cited in allowable claim 13.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' attorney, Lucinda Price (located in Gainesville, Florida), at (352) 373-8804, or Applicants' below-named representative (located in Minneapolis, Minnesota) to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

RAHUL N. MANEPALLI ET AL.

By their Representatives,
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
Attorneys for Intel Corporation
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 349-9592

Date Nov. 1, 2005

By Ann M. McCrackin
Ann M. McCrackin
Reg. No. 42,858

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 1 day of November 2005.

John N. Guster-Wrathell
Name

John N. Guster-Wrathell
Signature